Earthquake stacks at constant offset[a]

Jon F. Claerbout

ABSTRACT

I show Shearer's earthquake stacks over all source-receiver locations at constant offset and compare them to exploration seismic data. This electronic document simply reads the stacks and plots them.

INTRODUCTION

The figures in this paper show Professor Peter Shearer's stacks over many earthquakes at constant epicentral distance (offset angle). Notice that the time scale is minutes and the offset is degrees of angle on the earth's surface. Otherwise, there are remarkable similarities to conventional exploration seismic data. For details of how the stacks were made, see the references.

SHEARER'S EARTHQUAKE STACKS

Professor Shearer has found a way to choose the polarity for each seismogram so that the SH waves do not randomly cancel in the stack. Since SH waves have no conversions, the SH-wave stack in Figure 1 is easier to understand than the P-SV stack shown later. The first big event is direct S (shear wave). It comes tangent to ScS (reflection from the earth's core) hitting grazing angle around 90 degrees at about 23 minutes of travel time. The first *multiple* reflection of ScS at grazing angle is at double the epicentral distance and double the travel time. It is easily seen at the opposite side of the earth near 180 degrees offset. Figure 1 also shows six bounces of direct S bouncing from the earth's surface before the plot ends at one hour travel time. Incidentally, many fewer earthquakes are observed near 180 degrees than near 90 degrees for the simple geometrical reason that ten degrees surrounding the equator is a much bigger area than ten degrees surrounding the pole. Thus the quality of the stacks degrades rapidly toward the poles.

Waves analogous to familiar water-bottom pegleg multiples are readily seen tracking S about 4 minutes later than S. The data shows these two events, one being a reflection from a layer at 410 km and the other from 660 km. As with familiar water-bottom peglegs, each event is a superposition of two events, one with a short path near the source and the other with a short path near the receiver.

Figure 1: Transverse component. SH waves.

Of particular interest are waves identified by Professor Shearer that track SS, the first surface multiple of S, but arrive about 4 minutes *earlier*. Were it not for the confusing similarity between "shear" and "Shearer", I would call these "Shearer" waves. They arrive *earlier* than the first multiple of direct S because instead of an earth-surface bounce at the middle of the path, they bounce a little sooner from the *underside* of the layers at 660 km and 410 km. The nice thing about Shearer's waves is that they are uniquely associated with the middle of the path, rather than having two bounce locations, as do familiar first-order peglegs. We might like to search our files of exploration data to see if we can find analogous events.

In the stack in Figure 2, Professor Shearer has chosen the polarities of each seismogram so that P-SV waves stack in phase. Because of conversions between P and SV, there are a huge number of new events which I leave to you to pick out. Waves that propagate further than 180 degrees fold back (like discrete Fourier transforms). I cannot explain why such folding was not visible on the SH section.

Figure 3 is a plot of signal amplitudes. These were the first stacks that Professor Shearer made because they require no knowledge of polarity.

A challenging goal is to redo these stacks from the original data trying to boost the spectral bandwidth of the final result. This involves statics corrections and corrections for source depth.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

Shearer, P. M., 1991a, Constraints on upper mantle discontinuities from observations of long period reflected and converted phases: J. Geophy. Res., **96**, 18,147–18,182.

———, 1991b, Imaging global body wave phases by stacking long-period seismograms: J. Geophy. Res., **96**, 20,535–20,324.

Figure 2: Vertical component showing P and SV waves.

Figure 3: Transverse and vertical component of signal magnitude. See if you believe in whole-earth anisotropy.